

To Earl Showerman, Shakespeare Fellowship 10//2912

Dear Earl,

When I say de Vere self-reveals himself 'everywhere', I mean that anywhere de Vere writes, he includes clever wordplay to reveal his identity. Here is a fine example from *Cymbeline* 3.2 48-82. **Note:** Underlined words are among the foundation tropes encountered throughout Shake-speare, Lyly and Griffin; they involve wordplay on surname fragments or related homonyms. These function as metonyms, and insinuate the writer and England's rulers as 'players' in the action. In doing so, they convey a dissident (and 'treasonous') message. Words of special interest to de Vere are also underlined; these belong to the 'glossary' found in my essay. Words with asterisks function on two or more levels by polysemic interpretation. **Bold face indicates de Vere's emphatic urging to the reader.** Compare this interpretation with a standard text.

Original	Gloss
<i>Imogen</i>	<i>Imogen</i>
Oh for a <u>horse</u> with wings*: Hear'st thou <i>Pisanio</i> ?	'Spring' for a <u>state</u> of Pegasus*: Hear'st thou <i>Pisanio</i> ?
He is at Milford-Haven: Read and tell me	He is at Milford-Haven: Read and tell me
How far 'tis thither. If one of mean* affairs 50	How far 'tis thither. If one of shared* affairs
May plod* it in a week, why may not I	May march* it in a week, why may not I
Glide thither in a <u>day</u> ? Then, <u>true</u> <i>Pisanio</i> , 52	Glide thither in a ' <u>de</u> '? Then, <u>Vere</u> <i>Pisanio</i> ,
<u>Who</u> long'st* like me to see* thy Lord, <u>who</u> long'st*—	<u>Witch</u> desires* like me to seat* thy Lord, <u>Witch</u> desires*
(Oh let me bate) but not like me: <u>yet</u> desires* 54	Oh, let me moderate*—but not like me: <u>still</u> desires*,
But* in a fainter* kind*— <u>Oh</u> , not like me!	Except* in a natural* child*—' <u>O</u> ', not like me!
For mine's* beyond beyond*: say, and speak thick*	For gold* beyond the telling: <b>say, and speak opaquely*</b>
<u>Love's</u> Counselor* should fill the bores* of hearing*,	<b>(Leicester's Advisor shall silence the <u>Boars</u> heiring*</b>
To th' smothering* of the sense—how far it is 58	<b>To th' suppressing of the Sense)</b> how far it is
To this <u>same</u> blessed Milford. And by th' way	To this <u>same</u> blessed Milford. And by th' way
Tell me how Wales was made <u>so</u> happy* <u>as</u> 60	Tell me how Wales was made <u>scion-propitious*</u> <u>as</u>
T' inherit such a Haven. But* first* of <u>All</u> ,	T' inherit such a Haven. Only* <u>One</u> among* <u>Tudor</u> ,
How we may steal from hence*, and for the gap	How we may slip* from this place*: and for the passage*
That we shall make in <u>Time</u> , from <u>our</u> hence-going*	That we shall make in <u>Cecil's State</u> , from <u>our</u> heir leaving
And <u>our</u> return, to excuse*:	And <u>our</u> return, to free from accusation*:
but* first*, how get hence*? 64	only* <u>One</u> [thing], how to get from this <u>Time</u> ?
Why should excuse be born* <u>or</u> <u>ere</u> begot?	Why should excuse be managed*, <u>golden</u> heir begot?
We'll talk of that <u>hereafter</u> . Prithree speak, 66	<b>We'll talk of that <u>heir</u> after.</b> Prithree speak,
How many score of miles may we <u>well</u> rid*	How many's* hearts*, of thousands*, may <u>Spring</u> count*
'Twi <sup>x</sup> t <u>hour</u> and <u>hour</u> ? 68	'Twi <sup>x</sup> t <u>Ore</u> and <u>Ore</u> ?
<i>Pisanio</i>	<i>Pisanio</i>
<u>One</u> score* 'twixt <u>sun</u> and <u>sun</u> ,	<u>King's</u> heart* 'twixt <u>Son</u> and <u>Son</u> ,
Madam, 's enough for you: and <u>too</u> much <u>too</u> .	Madam, 's enough for you, and <u>Tu</u> much <u>Tu</u> .
<i>Imogen</i>	<i>Imogen</i>
Why, <u>one</u> that rode <u>to</u> 's execution, man 70	Why, <b>a Prince borne* freely* Tu's Execution, Vere</b>
Could never go so slow. I have heard	<b>Could Not Ever think so slow.</b> I have heard
of riding wagers	of Crown wagers
Where <u>Horses</u> have been nimbler* than the Sands*	Where <u>States</u> have readier wits* than the thoughts*
That run i' th' clock's behalf. But this is fool'ry.	That run i' th' Cecil's half[wits]. <b>Only this is foolery.</b>
Go, bid my Woman feign a Sickness, say 74	ll. 74-7 carry action forward.
She'll home to her Father; and provide me presently	
A Riding Suit: No costlier than would fit 76	
A Franklin's Housewife.	
<i>Pisanio</i>	<i>Pisanio</i>
Madam, your best consider.	Madam, your best [interests] consider.
<i>Imogen</i>	<i>Imogen</i>
I see before me ( <u>Man</u> ) nor here, nor here, 78	I see before me, ( <u>Vere</u> ) not <u>Or</u> * Here, not <u>Or</u> Heir,
Nor what ensues, but have a fog in them	Not <u>Or</u> that* follows*, <b>only* they* have a Fog in them</b>
That I cannot look through. Away, I prithee; 80	<b>That I cannot look through.</b> Away, I prithee,
Do as I bid thee. There's* no more to say.	Do as I bid thee: <b>There Is* no <u>More</u> to <u>Sev</u>:</b>
Accessible is none but Milford way. 82	<b><u>Accession</u> will not be but by* <u>Tudor</u> Way.</b>

What is de Vere saying? That ‘Say-More’/Seymour has no apparent claim. The ‘Milford Way’—invasion—was the way of Tudor Accession, and ‘now’ it must be the way of Tudor Succession. **That is the subject of this ‘thick fog’.** Lines 81-2 are not postscript, they are Theme. Is it any wonder that de Vere’s persistent requests for military command went unanswered?

Though much of my interpretation is flawed (no doubt), the method used by de Vere is clear enough; the bold-face ‘urgings’ tell us that quick reading is not possible if we are to understand him. I believe this is the ‘heart’ of Shake-speare. Historical inference must go hand in hand with an attempt to construe his ‘Proper’ meaning.

48 *Oh*: (metonym) Oxford; *horse*: estate, state; *wings*: Pegasus; (1) see below 49 Milford-Haven: Tudor beachhead, Aug., 1485  
 50 *mean*\*: possessed in common 51 (2) see below, *plod*\*: march 52 *day*: ‘de’ (3) see below; *true*: Ver  
 53 *see*: seat; *long’st*\*: desires 54 *yet*: (metonym) E.Ver; *long’st*\*: belongs 55 *fainter*\*: natural, spiritless?  
 56 *mine*: Ore, Or, gold; *thick*\*: densely 57 *Love’s*: (met.) Rob. Dudley; *hearing*\*: just heiring  
 60 *so*: (met.) successor; *happy*: propitious; *such*\*: of the same kind; *but*: otherwise; *all*: Tudor  
 62 *steal*: secret; *hence*: from this place; *gap*: passage; *time*: (met.) W. Cecil’s State; *hence-going*: leaving  
 64 *hence*: move away; *excuse* ex: ‘out’ + causa: ‘blame’ 65 *born*: managed; *or*: golden; *ere*: heir 66 *hereafter*: heir after  
 67 *score*: count; *miles*: thousands; *well*: (met.) Spring 68 *hour*: Or, d’or; *mine* (4) see below  
 68 *score*: count; (4) see below; *too*: (surname wordplay) Tu; (4) below 70 *one*: Prince; *ride*: borne: freely; *Man*: Were, Vere;  
 70 *go*: think; *so*: likewise 72 *horses*: States; *nimble*: readier wits; *sands*: grains; *clock, time*: Wm. Cecil; *Man*: were, Vere;  
 72 *nor*: not Or; *here*: heir (3) see below *no more*: missing More/mour 82 *Milford way*: invasion

- (1) l.48 “a horse with wings” = Pegasus: a winged horse sired by Zeus; he struck the ground with his hooves to bring forth the spring sacred to the Muses on Mt. Helicon. Hesiod associated Pegasus with the words ‘spring’ and ‘well’ see my essay and glossary metonyms Spring = Primavera = Green = de Vere.
- (2) l.50-1 “mean affairs”, affairs shared in common = may refer to the week that Henry Tudor and his army made passage from Brittany to Milford-Haven Aug. 1-7, or the long week that his army marched from Milford to Bosworth Field near Leicester for the fateful battle of Aug. 22, 1485.
- (3) Eva Turner Clark, in her *Hidden Allusions in Shakespeare’s Plays* was confused by de Vere’s metonymy. She began looking for towns near Hedingham Castle named Milford (*settled on Long Melford*) and apparently missed the significance of Milford-Haven. Her book is a perfect example of the dangers of interpretation; yet language is interpretive and we must begin somewhere.
- (4) Several surname fragments are paired for emphasis: hour/hour (the duration of ‘More’), sun/sun (Tudor Son), too/too (Tu[d’or]), nor/nor/nor (Not ‘Or’, etc); they are pieces of a puzzle.

Such hidden treasures are verily everywhere. I notice you have employed the same sort of trick with your E-mail address—earlees@... a lot could be done with that if you had ‘suppressed sense’ to convey. How about early, show, err, man, plus dozens of derivatives. The virtue of de Vere’s method is the simplicity. Once a few metonyms—guideposts—are learned, most passages may be ‘cracked’. Stratfordians happily take this scene at supposed face value, but they violate the writers admonition to understand what is ‘**thick**’ spoken l.56, or at least attempt to ‘**look through**’ the ‘**fog in them**’ l.79-80.

Mike Egan has warned of ‘doing violence’ to the lines. That exclusion may be pushed beyond warrant. It is a rhetorical conceit of the Shakespeare Orthodoxy (and generally, of so-called Literary Criticism); they use such devices to force a politically conformist reading. **De Vere is under no such injunction.** I think you’ll agree, the original text of this scene is hopelessly giddy and digressive. It is begging for interpretation—the writer is practically on his knees. Orthodoxy feels secure in reading only enough meaning to satisfy theatrical necessity and *status quo*—we are searching for something More. The Oxfordian position has been that there is more; I say, we can be most useful by assuming there is much more.

Scholars are an easily confounded bunch, and many are unimaginative to boot. *Cymbeline*, they tell us is a romance, a pastoral tragicomedy—what a failure, so they say! Even dear Samuel Johnson spluttered in disgust:

“To remark the folly of the fiction, the absurdity of the conduct, the confusion of the names and manners of different times and the impossibility of the events in any system of life, were to waste criticism upon unresisting imbecility, upon faults too evident for detection and too gross for aggravation.”

Well! That's telling us, I guess. An 'outsider', however, senses that *Cymbeline* is a court comedy full of skewers, barbs... and treacherous political allegory. The cast is an ad hoc collection of 'historical' persons derived across time and space from Holinshed's Chronicles. Each is selected to suggest someone of special concern to de Vere. Cloten—Sir Philip Sidney—is just plain 'thick'... a "Puppy" and a land thief *Cym. 1.2 16-21* ; yet, there are elements of de Vere in him. De Vere notes how easily the Queen's son may be mistaken for Posthumous if only his head is replaced! Cloten's mother, the Queen, is that "crafty devil" Mary Dudley Sidney (Protestantism), "that Bears (*the Dudley 'Bear and Ragged Staff'*) all down with her brain" *Cym. 2.1 53* ; i.e. 'ravages' the Monarchy with her stratagems. Posthumus Leonatus, [born] 'after-the-Man Lion'—that's de Vere; he was born after the death of granddad Henry VIII Tudor and 'but shortly' the axing of Th. Seymour. Imogen... Anne Cecil de Vere, Elizabeth R (Catholicism) ? Belarius is probably a conflation of recusants John and Edward de Vere. In one night 'Bel-Heir-We/fully' whose significance is derived from a blend of Old English and Latin is 'shaken down' by a 'Tempest or Rob-bery' *Will Cecil and Rob Dudley* , that left [him]—let's be perfectly clear about this—'Bear to Weather' *R. Dudley and Wm. Cecil; all paraphrased from Cym. 3.3 60-4* .

This sort of metonymy amuses some people. How about Mulmutius? That, I suspect, was selected to characterize 'Packhorse' Cecil who is the 'Mule Full-Changer'. As Holinshed records, Mulmutius was the sixteenth King of the Britons, but the first to be crowned with gold. To my ear, this implies an unfavorable distinction between that and being crowned with the laurel wreath of martial triumph or academic honor.

The following are examples of the several types of metonymy and wordplay in *Cymbeline*; note that First Folio punctuation has been restored where clarity is not sacrificed:

1.1 1-4 Original

Gloss

*First Gentleman*

You do not meet a man but frowns.

You do not meet a Vere but he frowns.

Our bloods\* no more obey the heavens\*

Our dispositions\* no More obey the heavens\*

Than our courtiers:

Than our courtiers [do]:

Still seem as does the King's.

Ever 'Seym', 'the Same' does the King's.

*Metonymy: man [Were, Vere], our, no more [inconstant], still [ever], seem ['Seym'], as [the same]. Polysemy: blood, heavens.*

De Vere contrasts the inconstancy of 'our' natures with the supposed constancy of a King's; but there's amphiboly in the final phrase.

1.6 169-71 Original

Gloss

*Iachimo*

He sits 'mongst men like a descended god.

He sits 'mongst men, like a 'de'-scended god.

He hath a kind of honor sets him off\*

He hath a child of honor that distinguishes him\*

More than a mortal seeming.

More than a Mort-All 'Seym'ing.

*Metonymy: 'de' [surname wordplay - (Latin) Dei, genitive of Deus: 'of God']; kind [child]; Seem + More [surname wordplay - Seymour]. Polysemy: mortal\* [fated, subject to death]; 'sets him off' \* [distinguishes him].*

Wordplay on More/Mour is frequent throughout the canon; there are three principle associations:

Seymour, Sir Thomas More, and the motto of Henry V, French 'Une sans plus': One without More.

De Vere admits that he's pretty special. It's no illusion—he's God ordained, he already has a 'so-so' heir, and what more he sees, or too much, can be overlooked. He's de-lightful, de-wonderful, de Vere.

1.6 119-25 Original

Gloss

*Iachimo*

A lady

A lady

So fair, and fastened\* to an empery\*

So Vere and just, and mated\* to an Empire\*

Would make the great'st king double,  
to be partnered\*

Would make the greatest king 'Tu'—  
to be\* paired\*

With tomboys\* hired with that self exhibition

With harlots\* hired with **that self revealing**

Which your own coffers yield; with diseased ventures\*

**Witch** your own coffers yield: with ill-commerce\*

That play with all infirmities for gold

That plays with Crown weakness for [that] d'or,

Which rottenness can lend to nature;

Witch rottenness can lend to bastardy;

brew\* such boiled stuff\*

contrive\* such de-still-ed\* stuff\*

As well might poison poison!

'Seyming' Spring might poison poison!

*Metonymy: So [child, what follows], fair [true, just, by right], double [two, too, 'Tu'], to be [to fulfill divine plan], All [the persons of the Monarchy], gold [Ore, d'or], well [Spring, Green, Ver]. Wordplay/Polysemy\* : partnered [paired, coupled], tomboys [harlots], ventures [commerce], boiled stuff [brew. concoction].*

Look for de Vere's syllogistic approach to surname wordplay; 'king double' (king 'Tu') searches through premises for it's golden (d'or, or, ore) mate. Note: Cymbeline is an early work and may predate the full development of a 'de Vere method'.

## 1.3 18-21 Original

## Gloss

*Imogen*

To look upon him till the diminution

To look upon Vere, till the diminution

Of Space, had pointed\* him sharp as my needle:

Of Space, had honed\* him sharp as my needle:

Nay, followed him, till he had melted from

Nay, followed Vere, till he had melted from

The smallness of a gnat, to air: and then

The 'thinness' of agnate to heir: and then

Have turned mine eye, and wept.

Have turned betrayer, and wept.

*Metonymy: till [‘a turn of earth’, verse, Vere], air [heir], mine [ore], eye [spy], mine eye [paid informant, Judas]. Wordplay: a gnat [agnatic: descended from the same male ancestor].*

The writer comments on his suspicion that his wife is reporting his activities to father-in-law Wm. Cecil. This piece also supports similar references to de Vere's simple premise of agnatic primogeniture, as noted in my essay *p.100. l.191*; he felt his direct descent through the 'Pride' of Henry VIII superseded lesser claims of the ('Strange': Lords Strange) Stanley's and ('Strange': Foreign) Stuart's through Henry VII. It's astonishing how wordplay can change the tone of a passage, isn't it?

As I noted above, the de Vere family figures small in the Shakespeare canon. However, John de Vere makes a rare cameo in *Cymbeline* 2.5 2-7—the famous “We are all bastards,” bit; the writer achieves Vere-similitude by relating his false parentage (an imaginative, but not misogynistic, fellow):

## 2.5 2-7 Original

## Gloss

*Posthumus*

We are all bastards,

We R[egius]—Tudor bastards,

And that most venerable man which I

And that very venerable Vere, which I

Did call my father was I know not where

Did call my Father, was, I know not where

When I was stamped. Some coiner\* with his tools

When I was stamped. 'Somer' coiner\* with his tools

Made me a counterfeit; yet my mother seemed

Made me falsely: nevertheless my Mother Seymed

The Dian of that time.

The Diana of that Cecil.

*Metonymy: are [R: Regius], all [Tudor Rose: Regina, Oxford, Southampton], most [Very], man [OE 'were', Vere], Some [Somer's Day, Th. Seymour], yet [nevertheless: never = not ever, i.e. not 'always the same'], seemed [mated Seym-our], Dian [Roman goddess of virginity, the Moon], time [Wm. Cecil].*

This passage relates back to *Cym.* 1.1 28, *First Gentleman*: “I cannot delve him to the root.” The writer is not saying all people are bastards, but that All Three Tudors that **are/R**—Elizabeth, Oxford, and Southampton—are bastards. Consider the wordplay of 'venerable' // *i* 3 as it relates to 'venery', 'venereal', and Venus. 'Yet' is a standard de Vere metonym for 'never(Elizabeth Tudor)-the-less'; de Vere, of course, is more, most, one, first, etc. meaning 'E.Ver-the-more'... “**Only this is fool'rie**”.

Oxford is 'fooling' with words, but he is not fooling that he is *not* the son of John de Vere. The direct descendants of Henry VIII are all of impeachable or downright illegitimate parentage.

“Some coiner with his tools” *Cym.* 2.5 5 is undoubtedly a pun on Thomas Seymour's implication in the coinage debasing schemes of Sir William Sharington, vice-treasurer of the Bristol Mint.

## 2.3 41-4 Original

## Gloss

*Cymbeline*

The exile of her minion is too new;

The exile of her minion is Tu new;

She hath not yet forgot him. Some more time

She hath not Ever forgot him. Seym-Mour Time

Must wear\* the print of his remembrance\* on't,

Must abrade\* the print of his remembrance\* on't,

And then she's yours\*.

And then she's yours\*.

*Metonymy: too [surname:Tu], yet [still, from now into the future], Some [surname: Somers de, Seym], more [surname: mour], time [concept: Wm. Cecil] Wordplay: wear [abrade, wear away]*

The subject is Imogen's faithfulness, which, 'To be', must be immutable. She's a Rock. Yet, with the corruption of 'Seymour-Time', Cymbeline suggests, even 'the print' of the 'remembrance' 'yours' may

‘wear’ (reduce, diminish) from yours to ours; likewise Tu[d]or may ‘wear’ to Tu’ours. Again we detect ‘inventions’ or syllogisms that insinuate the writer’s name.

Here’s another example; I have transposed it in the same manner as *Cym.* 3.3 48-82 above. First *Cym.* 3.1 46-61:

<p><i>Cymbeline</i>            <b>You must know,</b>            46</p> <p>Till the injurious* <u>Romans</u> did extort This tribute* from us, we were free. <u>Caesar’s</u> ambition, <u>Which</u> swelled <u>so much</u>, that it* did almost* <u>stretch</u> The sides o’th’ <u>world</u>, against all* <u>color*</u> <u>here</u>,    50 Did put the yoke upon’s; <u>which</u> to shake off Becomes* a warlike people, whom we reckon    52 Ourselves <u>to be</u>, we do. Say then to <u>Caesar</u>, Our ancestor* was that <u>Mulmutius</u>, <u>which</u>        54 <u>Or</u>dnained our laws, whose use <b>the sword of Caesar</b> <b>Hath too much mangled</b>; whose repair*,        56 and franchise* Shall (by the power we hold) be our* good deed, Though <u>Rome be</u> <u>therefore</u> angry.                    58 <u>Mulmutius</u> made our laws, _ Who was the first of Britain, <u>which</u> did put His brows within a golden* crown, and called    60 Himself a King.        <i>Cymbeline</i> 3.3 46-61</p> <p>47 <i>injurious: without right, ‘sanz droit’; Romans: Catholics</i> 49 <i>Which s: Witch is, Elizabeth; welled: Spring, Vere; almost: most royally</i> 51 <i>yoke: team of oxen; which: ‘Witch One’ among several</i> 53 <i>Caesar: Seize-R, seizure of Crown</i> 54 <i>ancestor: antecedent, family and social background; Mulmutius: (wordplay) ‘Fully Mule Changed’, King of Britons, c.400 BC</i> 55 <i>Ordnained: Ore-deigned; sword: (dbl. ent.) penis, alt.: the sword = this word; Th. Seymour: condemned for plots to seize the Tudor throne</i> 56 <i>repair: re-couple; franchise: legal impunity</i> 58 <i>Rome: the Bishop of Rome; therefore: for the heir</i> 60 <i>Tu + Or: surname wordplay</i></p>	<p><i>Cymbeline</i>            <b>You must know,</b>            46</p> <p>Till the <u>Roman Church</u>, without right*, did extort This Decimae* from us, we were free. <u>Seize-Are’s</u> Ambition- <u>Witches Sprung So</u> greatly* that she* did almost <u>span</u> The [opposing] sides o’th’ <u>Monde</u>, to the <u>Royal-faced Heir</u> Did put the [<u>Oxen’s</u>] yoke upon us; <u>witch</u> to shake off Well-suits* a warlike people, whom we reckon Ourselves <u>to be</u>—we do. Say then to (<u>Sieze-R</u>) Our antecedent* was that <u>Mule Changed</u> ‘<u>Witch</u>’ <u>Ore</u> deigned our laws, whose use, <b>the sword of Seymour</b> (Seize-R, Catholicism) <b>Hath Tu much mangled</b>, whose re-coupling* and legal immunity* Shall, by the power we hold, be our* good deed, Though [the Church of] <u>Rome, for the heir</u>, be angry. [<u>This</u>] <u>Mule Changed</u> made our laws, [Decided] who was the first among Britons, <u>Witch</u> did put His brows within a [Tu-]d’or Crown, and call’d Himself a King.        <i>Cymbeline</i> 3.3 46-61</p> <p>48 <i>tribute: Decimae, tithe to Rome; Caesar: Seize Are/R, Seymour</i> 50 <i>World: ‘Monde’ from Richmond; all: Tudor; (1)</i> 53 <i>Caesar: Seize-R, seizure of Crown</i></p>
---	---

Holinshed records that Mulmutius, a warrior, subjugated several kingdoms (at times by deceit) and crowned himself King of the Britons. It is difficult to determine whether he represents ‘Packhorse Cecil’ or a nobler ‘One’. Therein lies the greater issue. No matter how successfully de Vere individuates his characters, they ultimately reflect his own mind. This artist has one existential concern—his own; it just happens that his own concerns are identical with those of a nation. So Posthumus and his ‘likeness’ Imogen (Fidele: *French* ‘faithful’, alt.: *French wordplay* ‘Fils de le’ [quoi?] = Fils Roi/Reine = “The ‘piece’ of Tender[ed] Heir” *Cym.* 5.5 445) are facets of his self. So is his ‘true’ servant Pisanio; and so are Belarius (his Morganatic self), Guiderius and Arviragus (his Seymour/martial and Oxford/artistic selves); and Cloten, a ‘double/Tu’ villain — “Toad, or Adder, Spider, ...” *Cym.* 4.2 90 (there really are two Tudors in there) is a Sidney—a false Vere’. He even nods to his Lyly self as a facet of Arviragus (Cadwal):

<p><i>Guiderius</i>            <u>Oh sweetest, fairest lily!</u> My brother <u>wears</u> thee not the <u>one</u> half <u>so well</u> <u>As</u> when thou grew’st thyself.        <i>Cym.</i> 4.2 201-3</p>	<p><i>Guiderius</i>            <u>Oh sweetest, fairest Lyly!</u> My brother uses thee, not the Tudor half (thus Spring) <u>As</u> when thou grew unto thyself.</p>
--	--

Descartes has nothing on this guy.  
Where is de Vere heading with all this autobiographical stuff? Simply that he is the “Majestic Sey-d’or” *Cym.* 5.5 456 who will rule England with his faithful consort Fidele—Rome.

By ‘living’ this de Vere’ method, that is, interpreting Shakespeare in this fashion, we may come to appreciate it’s utility. It may reflect ‘light’ perfectly; and yes, it might prove a failure, but look at the volume of material in which we have to find ‘**th** suppressing of the sense’. It’s very much akin to the Tudor family tradition of learning a foreign language by translation. I swear, this is much more fun than Solitaire.